arXiv:1412.1747v3 [math.PR] 15 Dec 2014

Stochastic differential equations driven by generalized grey noise

José Luís da Silva,
CCM, University of Madeira, Campus da Penteada,
9020-105 Funchal, Portugal.
Email: luis@uma.pt

Mohamed Erraoui
Université Cadi Ayyad, Faculté des Sciences Semlalia,
Département de Mathématiques, BP 2390, Marrakech, Maroc
Email: erraoui@uca.ma

December 16, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we establish a substitution formula for stochastic differential equation driven by generalized grey noise. We then apply this formula to investigate the absolute continuity of the solution with respect to the Lebesgue measure and the positivity of the density. Finally, we derive an upper bound and show the smoothness of the density.

Keywords: Generalized grey Brownian motion, fractional Brownian motion, stochastic differential equations, absolute continuity.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries **4**

3 Substitution theorem **7**

4 Applications **10**
4.1 Absolute continuity 11
4.2 Upper bound of the density 12
4.3 Smoothness of the density 15

1 Introduction

A number of stochastic models for explaining anomalous diffusion have been introduced in the literature, among them we would like to quote the fractional Brownian motion (fBm), see e.g. [MvN68], [Taq03], the Lévy flights [DSU08], the grey Brownian motion (gBm) [Sch90], [Sch92], the generalized grey Brownian motion (ggBm) denoted by $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ [Mur08], [MM09], [MP08] and references therein. The latter is a family of self-similar with stationary increments processes ($\frac{\alpha}{2}$-sssi) where the two real parameters $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $\beta \in (0,1]$. It includes fBm when $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and $\beta = 1$, and time-fractional diffusion stochastic processes when $\alpha = \beta \in (0,1)$. The gBm corresponds to the choice $\alpha = \beta$, with $0 < \beta < 1$. Finally, the standard Brownian motion (Bm) is recovered by setting $\alpha = \beta = 1$. We observe that only in the particular case of Bm the corresponding process is Markovian. Moreover the process $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ has $(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \varepsilon)$-Hölder continuous trajectories for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and it can be represented (in law) as a scale mixture ($\sqrt{Y_\beta} B_H$) where B_H is a standard fBm with Hurst parameter $H = \alpha/2$ and Y_β is an independent non-negative random variable, for the details see Section 2.

We will consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$X_t = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^t V_j(X_s) dB^j_{\alpha,\beta}(s) + \int_0^t V_0(X_s)\, ds, \quad t \in [0,T]\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $T > 0$ is a fixed time, $\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,\beta} = (B^1_{\alpha,\beta}, \ldots, B^d_{\alpha,\beta})$ is a d-dimensional ggBm, $\alpha \in (1,2)$, $\beta \in (0,1]$ and $\{V_j; 0 \le j \le d\}$ is a collection of vector fields of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The stochastic integral appearing in (1.1) is a pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integral, see [You36]. It is well known that, under suitable assumptions on $\boldsymbol{V} = (V_1, \ldots, V_d)$, the equation (1.1) has a unique solution which is $(\frac{\alpha}{2} - \varepsilon)$-Hölder continuous for all $\varepsilon > 0$. This result was obtained in [Lyo94] using

the notion of p-variation. The theory of rough paths, introduced by Lyons in [Lyo94], was used by Coutin and Qian in order to prove an existence and uniqueness result for the equation (1.1) driven by fBm, see [CQ02]. Nualart and Răşcanu [NR02] have established the existence of a unique solution for a class of general differential equations that includes (1.1) using the fractional integration by parts formula obtained by Zähle for Young integral, see [Zäh98].

The representation in law of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$, see (2.8) below, allows us to consider, instead of the equation (1.1), the following equation

$$X_t^H = x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^t V_j(X_s^H) d\big(\sqrt{Y_\beta} B_H^j\big)(s) + \int_0^t V_0(X_s^H)\, ds, \quad t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{1.2}$$

This is due to the fact that the solutions of the SDEs (1.1) and (1.2) induces the same distribution on the space of continuous functions $C\left([0,T]\,;\mathbb{R}^n\right)$. Furthermore, since the stochastic integral in (1.2) is a pathwise Riemann-Stieltjes integral, then the SDE (1.2) can be written as

$$X_t^H = x_0 + \sqrt{Y_\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^t V_j(X_s^H) dB_H^j(s) + \int_0^t V_0(X_s^H)\, ds, \quad t \in [0,T]\,. \tag{1.3}$$

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a substitution formula (SF) for equation (1.3). Let us now describe our approach. For each $y > 0$, we consider the following equation

$$X_t^H(y) = x_0 + \sqrt{y} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^t V_j(X_s^H(y)) dB_H^j(s) + \int_0^t V_0(X_s^H(y))\, ds. \tag{1.4}$$

It is well known that, under suitable assumptions, see e.g. Nualart and Răşcanu [HN07], that if $1-H < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ the SDE (1.4) has a strong $(1-\lambda)$-Hölder continuous solution $X_\cdot^H(y)$. To establish a SF, the natural idea is to replace y in (1.4) by the random variable Y_β and prove that $X_\cdot^H(Y_\beta)$ satisfies the SDE (1.3). For more details on the SF we refer to [Nua06]. To handle this problem, the key is to prove, for each $t \in [0,T]$, the following equalities

$$\int_0^t V_j(X_s^H(y)) dB_H^j(s)\bigg|_{y=Y_\beta} = \int_0^t V_j(X_s^H(Y_\beta)) dB_H^j(s), \quad j = 1, \ldots, d, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\int_0^t V_0(X_s^H(y))\,ds\Big|_{y=Y_\beta} = \int_0^t V_0(X_s^H(Y_\beta))\,ds. \tag{1.6}$$

To this end we need to study the regularity of the solution $X_t^H(y)$ of the SDE (1.4) with respect to y. Once this is accomplished, we use the SF to show the absolute continuity of the law of the solution $X^H(Y_\beta)$ and the positivity of its density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$. Subsequently to give a Gaussian mixture type upper bound and to study the smoothness of $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$. We emphasize the fact that these results are essentially due to those established for the density $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ of the law of $X_t^H(y)$, see [BOT14, BNOT14, NS09], and the dependence with respect to y of $p_{X_t^H(y)}$. Indeed, using the SF and the independence of $\{X_t^H(y), 0 \le t \le T, y > 0\}$ and Y_β, the density $p_{X^{H}(Y_\beta)}$ is given by

$$p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} p_{X_t^H(y)}(z) p_{Y_\beta}(y)\,dy, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where p_{Y_β} is the density of the law of Y_β. Hence, the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ is given in terms of a parameter dependent integral, implying that all the properties of $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ will be deducted from those of $p_{X_t^H(y)}$. This persuade us to borrow the hypotheses of the cited works to realize the above results.

2 Preliminaries

According to Mura and Pagnini [MP08], the ggBm $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a stochastic process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that for any collection $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n < \infty$ the joint probability density function of $(B_{\alpha,\beta}(t_1), \ldots, B_{\alpha,\beta}(t_n))$ is given by

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(x, t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma_\alpha)}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\tau^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^\top \Sigma_\alpha^{-1} x}{2\tau}\right) M_\beta(\tau) d\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Sigma_\alpha = (a_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$ is the matrix given by

$$a_{i,j} = t_i^\alpha + t_j^\alpha - |t_i - t_j|^\alpha,$$

and M_β is the so-called M-Wright probability density function (a natural generalization of the Gaussian density) which is related to the Mittag-Leffler

function through the following Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s\tau} M_\beta(\tau)\, d\tau = E_\beta(-s). \tag{2.2}$$

Here E_β is the Mittag-Leffler function of order β, defined by

$$E_\beta(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\beta n + 1)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that for a given $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any collection $\{B_{\alpha,\beta,}(t_1), \ldots, B_{\alpha,\beta,}(t_n)\}$ with $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n < \infty$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(i \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k B_{\alpha,\beta}(t_k)\right)\right) = E_\beta\left(-\frac{1}{2} u^\top \Sigma_\alpha u\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Equation (2.3) shows that ggBm, which is not Gaussian in general, is a stochastic process defined only through its first and second moments which is a property of Gaussian processes.

The following properties can be easily derived from (2.3).

1. $B_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = 0$ almost surely. In addition, for each $t \ge 0$, the moments of any order are given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}(B_{\alpha,\beta}^{2n+1}(t)) & = 0, \\ \mathbb{E}(B_{\alpha,\beta}^{2n}(t)) & = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n \Gamma(\beta n+1)} t^{n\alpha}. \end{cases}$$

2. For each $t, s \ge 0$, the characteristic function of the increments is

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{iu(B_{\alpha,\beta}(t) - B_{\alpha,\beta}(s))}\big) = E_\beta\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}|t-s|^\alpha\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

3. The covariance function has the form

$$\mathbb{E}(B_{\alpha,\beta}(t) B_{\alpha,\beta}(s)) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\beta+1)}(t^\alpha + s^\alpha - |t-s|^\alpha), \quad t, s \ge 0. \tag{2.5}$$

It was shown in [MP08] that the ggBm $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ admits the following representation

$$\big\{B_{\alpha,\beta}(t),\ t \ge 0\big\} \stackrel{d}{=} \big\{\sqrt{Y_\beta} B_H(t),\ t \ge 0\big\}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes the equality of the finite dimensional distribution and B_H is a standard fBm with Hurst parameter $H = \alpha/2$. Y_β is an independent non-negative random variable with probability density function M_β. A process with the representation given as in (2.6) is known to be variance mixture of normal distributions. A consequence of the representation (2.6) is the Hölder continuity of the trajectories of ggBm which reduces to the Hölder continuity of the fBm. Thus we have

$$\mathbb{E}(|B_{\alpha,\beta}(t) - B_{\alpha,\beta}(s)|^p) = c_p|t-s|^{p\alpha/2}. \tag{2.7}$$

We conclude that the process $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ has $(\frac{\alpha}{2}-\varepsilon)$-Hölder continuous trajectories for all $\varepsilon > 0$. So, we can use the integral introduced by Young [You36] with respect to $B_{\alpha,\beta}$. That is, for any Hölder continuous function f of order γ such that $\gamma + (\alpha/2) > 1$ and every subdivision $(t_i^n)_{i=0,\dots,T}$ of $[0,T]$, whose mesh tends to 0, as n goes to ∞, the Riemann sums

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i^n)\left(B_{\alpha,\beta}(t_{i+1}^n) - B_{\alpha,\beta}(t_i^n)\right)$$

converge to a limit which is independent of the subdivision $(t_i^n)_{i=0,\dots,T}$. We denote this limit by

$$\int_0^T f(t)\, dB_{\alpha,\beta}(t).$$

Till now we have recalled the ggBm in 1-dimension, but from now on we use a d-dimensional ggBm $\boldsymbol{B}_{\beta,\alpha} = (B^1_{\alpha,\beta}, \dots, B^d_{\alpha,\beta})$ $(0 < \beta \leq 1,\ 1 < \alpha \leq 2)$ with characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}\big(e^{i(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(t))_{\mathbb{R}^d}}\big) = E_\beta\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x})_{\mathbb{R}^d}t^\alpha\right)$$

and the representation in law

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \sqrt{Y_\beta}\boldsymbol{B}_H(t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

where Y_β is independent of $\boldsymbol{B}_H(t)$, $\boldsymbol{B}_H$ is a d-dimensional fBm with Hurst parameter $H = \alpha/2$.

Notations: Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified we will make use of the following notations:

For $0 < \lambda < 1$ we denote by $C^\lambda\left(0,T,\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ the space of all λ-Hölder continuous functions $f:[0,T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\lambda = \|f\|_{0,T\infty} + \|f\|_{0,T,\lambda}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{0,T,\infty} = \sup_{0\le t\le T} |f(t)|\,, \qquad \|f\|_{0,T,\lambda} = \sup_{0\le s<t\le T} \frac{|f(t)-f(s)|}{|t-s|^\lambda}.$$

For $k,n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $C_b^k := C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of all bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which are k times continuously differentiable in Fréchet sense with bounded derivative up to the kth order, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_b^k} = \|f\|_\infty + \|Df\|_\infty + \cdots + \|D^k f\|_\infty < \infty.$$

We also denote by $C_b^\infty := C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m)$ the class of all infinitely differentiable (in Fréchet sense) bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with bounded derivatives of all orders.

3 Substitution theorem

Throughout this paper we assume that the coefficients V_0 and $\boldsymbol{V}$ satisfy the following hypothesis

(H.1)

$$V_0 \in C_b^1,\ \boldsymbol{V} \in C_b^2.$$

First we give the regularity of the solution $X_t^H(y)$ of the SDE (1.4) with respect to y. This result will be proved using the following Fernique-type lemma due to Saussereau [Sau12].

Lemma 1 (cf. Lemma 2.2 in [Sau12]). *(i). Let $T>0$ and $1/2<\delta<H<1$ be given. Then, for any $\tau < 1/(128\,(2T)^{2(H-\delta)})$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\tau\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^2\right)\right) \le \left(1-128\tau\,(2T)^{2(H-\delta)}\right)^{-1/2}.$$

(ii). For any integer $k \ge 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^{2k}\right) \le 32^k (2T)^{2k(H-\delta)}(2k)!.$$

Remark 2. For any $\tau < 1/(128\,(2T)^{2(H-\delta)})$ we have the following tail norm estimate for $\boldsymbol{B}_H$:

$$\mathbb{P}\big[\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta} > r\big] \leq M \exp\left(-\tau r^2\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $M = \left(1 - 128\tau\,(2T)^{2(H-\delta)}\right)^{-1/2}$.

The following estimate is crucial in the proof of our main result, cf. Theorem 6 below. It is worth to notice that such estimate was obtained and improved by Hu and Nualart [HN07] and, afterward, refined in Proposition 2.3 in [Sau12].

Proposition 3. *Let $T > 0$ and $1/2 < \delta < H < 1$ be given. Under Hypothesis (**H.1**) there exist a positive constant C_n depending on $T, \delta, H, \|V_0\|_{C_b^1}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{C_b^2}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|X^H(y) - X^H(\widetilde{y})\|_\delta \;\leq\; & C_n \left|\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\widetilde{y}}\right| \|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{C_b^1} \|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta} \\ & \times \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}\right)^{2/\delta} \exp\left(C_n \|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^{1/\delta}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for all $|y|, |\widetilde{y}| \leq n$.

Now we are ready to state the regularity of the solution $X_t^H(y)$ of the SDE (1.4) with respect to y.

Proposition 4. *Let $T > 0$ and $1/2 < \delta < H < 1$ be given. Under Hypothesis (**H.1**) there exist a positive $\widetilde{C}_n > 0$ depending on T, δ, H, $\|V_0\|_{C_b^1}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{C_b^2}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} \left|X_s^H(y) - X_s^H(\widetilde{y})\right|^4\right) \leq \widetilde{C}_n \,|y - \widetilde{y}|^2, \quad t \in [0,T]$$

for all $|y|, |\widetilde{y}| \leq n$.

Proof. Let $t \in [0,T]$ and $|y|, |\widetilde{y}| \leq n$ be fixed. Using the estimate in Proposition 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \leq t} \left|X_t^H(y) - X_t^H(\widetilde{y})\right|^4\right) \\ \leq\; & C_n^4 \left|\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{\widetilde{y}}\right|^4 \|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{C_b^1}^4 \\ & \times \mathbb{E}\left(\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^4 \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}\right)^{8/\beta} \exp\left(4C_n \|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^{1/\delta}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assertions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 and the following Young inequality

$$4C_n\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^{1/\delta} \leq \frac{2\delta-1}{2\delta}\left(\frac{4C_n}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2\delta/(2\delta-1)} + \varepsilon^{2\delta}\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\|_{0,T,\delta}^2$$

that, for small enough ε, there exist a constant $\widetilde{C}_n > 0$ depending on $T, \delta, H, \|V_0\|_{C_b^1}$ and $\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{C_b^2}$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\leq t}\left|X_s^H(y) - X_s^H(\widetilde{y})\right|^4\right) \leq \widetilde{C}_n\left|y-\widetilde{y}\right|^2.$$

□

The following proposition provides the substitution formulas (1.5) and (1.6).

Proposition 5. *Under Hypothesis (**H.1**) the equalities (1.5) and (1.6) are satisfied.*

Proof. First let's recall that, for $j = 1, \ldots, d$ and any $y > 0$, the Young integrals

$$\int_0^T V_j(X_s^H(y))\, dB_H^j(s) \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\int_0^T V_j(X_s^H(Y_\beta))\, dB_H^j(s) \tag{3.3}$$

exist. Indeed, if $1 - H < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, then for each $y > 0$, the SDE (1.4) has a strong $(1-\lambda)$-Hölder continuous solution $X_\cdot^H(y)$. Therefore, the process $X_\cdot^H(Y_\beta)$ has $(1-\lambda)$-Hölder continuous paths. Then the existence of the preceding integrals follows from the Lipschitz condition of V_j and the Hölder continuity of the paths of B_H^j. As a consequence, for any subdivision $(t_k^n)_{k=0,\ldots,n-1}$ of $[0,T]$, whose mesh tends to 0 as n goes to ∞, and each $y \geq 0$, the Riemann sums

$$S_n^j(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} V_j(X_{t_k^n}^H(y))\left(B_H^j(t_{k+1}^n) - B_H^j(t_k^n)\right)$$

and

$$R_n^j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} V_j(X_{t_k^n}^H(Y_\beta)) \left(B_H^j(t_{k+1}^n) - B_H^j(t_k^n)\right)$$

converge to (3.2) and (3.3), respectively. Now to prove (1.5), it suffices to show that $S_n^j(Y_\beta) = R_n^j$, converge, as n goes to ∞, to

$$\int_0^T V_j(X_s^H(y)) dB_H^j(s)\Big|_{y=Y_\beta}.$$

Taking into account that the fBm with Hurst parameter H has locally bounded p-variation for $p > 1/H$ and the regularity of the solution $X_t^H(y)$ with respect to y, cf. Proposition 4, then the above mentioned convergence follows from Lemma 3.2.2 in Nualart and the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left|S_n^j(y) - S_n^j(\widetilde{y})\right|^4 &= \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(V_j(X_{t_k^n}^H(y)) - V_j(X_{t_k^n}^H(\widetilde{y}))\right) \left(B_H^j(t_{k+1}^n) - B_H^j(t_k^n)\right)\right|^4 \\ &\leq C\left|y - \widetilde{y}\right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $|y|, |\widetilde{y}| \leq n$. The equality (1.6) is easy to prove. □

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *The process* $\left\{X_t^H(Y_\beta), t \in [0,T]\right\}$ *satisfies the SDE (1.2).*

Proof. It follows from the classical Kolmogorov criterion that, for each $t \in [0,T]$, there exists a modification of the process $\left\{X_t^H(y), y \geq 0\right\}$ that is a continuous process whose paths are γ-Hölder for every $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$. Now using the equalities (1.5) and (1.6) we obtain that the process $\left\{X_t^H(Y_\beta), t \in [0,T]\right\}$ satisfies the SDE (1.2) by substituting $y = Y_\beta(w)$ in the SDE (1.4). This completes the proof. □

4 Applications

As an application of the SF obtained in the previous section we first deduce, under suitable non degeneracy condition on the vector field $\boldsymbol{V}$, the absolute continuity (with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$) of the law of the solution $X_t^H(Y_\beta)$ at any time $t > 0$. Secondly we give sufficient conditions for the strict positivity of the density, cf. Subsection 4.1. Finally we derive a Gaussian mixture upper bound for the density and its smoothness in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3.

4.1 Absolute continuity

In order to investigate the absolute continuity of the law of $X_t^H(Y_\beta)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the strict positivity of the density we assume:

(H.2) The vector fields $V_0, \ldots, V_d$ are C_b^∞.

(H.3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every non vanishing $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the vector space spanned by $\{V_j(x), [V_j, Z],\ 1 \leq j \leq d\}$ is $\mathbb{R}^n$, where Z is given by $Z = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j V_j$.

Proposition 7. *Assume that Hypotheses* (**H.2**) *and* (**H.3**) *hold. Then for any $t \in (0, T]$, we have:*

1. *The law of the solution $X_t^H(Y_\beta)$ of the SDE (1.2) has a density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

2. *The density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ is strictly positive, that is $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}(z) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. 1. It follows from Theorem 4.3 in Baudoin and Hairer [BH07] that, for any $y > 0$ and $t \in (0, T]$, the law of the the solution $X_t^H(y)$ of the SDE (1.4) has a smooth density $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\{\boldsymbol{B}_H(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and Y_β are independent, then $\{X_t^H(y), 0 \leq t \leq T, y > 0\}$ and Y_β are also independent. Now it is easy to see that the density function of $X_t^H(Y_\beta)$ is given by

$$p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}(z) = \int_0^\infty p_{X_t^H(y)}(z) M_\beta(y)\, dy,\ z \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.1}$$

2. Let $t \in (0, T]$ be given. It is follows from Baudoin et al. [BNOT14] that, under Hypotheses (**H.2**) and (**H.3**), for any $y > 0$ the density $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ of the the solution $X_t^H(y)$ of the SDE (1.4) fulfills $p_{X_t^H(y)}(z) > 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $p_{X_t^H(y)}(z) > 0$ for all $y > 0$. It follows that the density (4.1) $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}(z) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

Remark 8. The absolute continuity of the law of $X_t^H(Y_\beta)$ may be obtained using Theorem 8 in Nualart and Saussereau [NS09] under weaker regularity conditions on V_j, $0 \leq j \leq d$. Namely, $V_j \in C_b^3$, $0 \leq j \leq d$ and the following non degeneracy hypothesis:

(H.4) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the vector space spanned by $V_1(x), \ldots, V_d(x)$ is $\mathbb{R}^n$.

4.2 Upper bound of the density

First of all, we recall the result of Baudoin [BOT14] on the global Gaussian upper bound for the density function $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ of the solution $X_t^H(y)$, for $y > 0$. Moreover, we highlight the dependence of $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ with respect to y. For this we need to assume the same assumptions and also keep the same notation as in the original work [BOT14]. We suppose that our vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_d$ fulfill the following antisymmetric hypothesis:

(H.5) There exist smooth and bounded functions $\omega_{i,j}^k$ such that:

$$[V_i, V_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \omega_{i,j}^k V_k \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{i,j}^k = -\omega_{i,k}^j,\ i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

The following theorem is an adaptation of Theorem 1.3 in [BOT14] for the equation (1.4).

Theorem 9. *Assume that Hypotheses (**H.2**),* (**H.4**) *and* (**H.5**) *are satisfied. Then, for $t \in (0, T]$, the random variable $X_t^H(y)$ admits a smooth density $p_{X_t^H(y)}$. Furthermore, there exist 3 positive constants $c_t^{(1)}(y), c_t^{(2)}(y), c_t^{(3)}(y)$ such that*

$$p_{X_t^H(y)}(z) \leq c_t^{(1)}(y) \exp\left(-c_t^{(3)}(y)\left(|z| - c_t^{(2)}(y)\right)^2\right)$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We would like to emphasize the dependence of the constants $c_t^{(1)}(y)$, $c_t^{(2)}(y)$, $c_t^{(3)}(y)$ with respect to y. For that we need a careful reading of the the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [BOT14] taking into account the dependence with respect to y. In a first step we look for the constants $c_t^{(2)}(y), c_t^{(3)}(y)$. It should be noted that these two constants come from the tail estimate of the solution $\mathbb{P}[X_t^H(y) > z]$. It follows from Proposition 2.2 in [BOT14] (see also Hu and Nualart [HN07]) that there exist a constant $C > 0$ depending on V_0, $\boldsymbol{V}$, k and x_0, such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left|X_t^H(y)\right| \leq |x_0| + y^{1/(2\delta)} CT \left\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\right\|_{0,T,\delta}^{1/\delta} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\|\gamma_{X_t^H(y)}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{C}{T^{2Hd}} \left[1 + \exp\left(y^{1/(2\delta)} CT \left\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\right\|_{0,T,\delta}^{1/\delta}\right)\right] \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sup_{0\leq t,r_i\leq T}\left|\mathbf{D}^{j_k}_{r_k}\dots\mathbf{D}^{j_1}_{r_1}X^H_t(y)\right|\leq C\exp\left(y^{1/(2\delta)}CT\left\|\boldsymbol{B}_H\right\|^{1/\delta}_{0,T,\delta}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ and $\gamma_{X^H_t}$ denote the Malliavin derivative and the Malliavin matrix of $X^H_t(y)$, respectively.

On the other hand, we obtain from Theorem 3.1 in [BOT14] the following deterministic bound of the Malliavin derivative of the solution $X^H_t(y)$, almost surely

$$\|\mathbf{D}X^H_t(y)\|_\infty\leq My\exp(\theta t),\quad y>0,$$

where the constant θ linearly depend on V_0 and

$$M=\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{\|\lambda\|\leq 1}\left|\sum_{j=1}^d\lambda_jV_j(x)\right|^2.$$

Now using the concentration property and the inequality (4.2) we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[X_t(y)>z]\leq\exp\left(-c^{(3)}_t(y)\big(|z|-c^{(2)}_t(y)\big)^2\right) \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$c^{(2)}_t(y)=\sqrt{d}\,\mathbb{E}\left(\max_{i=1,\dots,n}\left(\left|X^{H,i}_t(y)\right|\right)\right)$$

and

$$c^{(3)}_t(y)=\frac{1}{2dM^2e^{2\theta t}t^{2H}y^2}.$$

For the constant $c^{(1)}_t(y)$, it derived from the norms of the Malliavin derivative and the Malliavin matrix of $X^H_t(y)$. Indeed, using the inequalities (4.2)-(4.4) and the tail estimate (3.1), Theorem 3.14 in [BOT14] gives us the following Gaussian upper bound of the density $p_{X^H_t(y)}$, for $y>0$,

$$p_{X^H_t(y)}(z)\leq c^{(1)}_t(y)\exp\left(-c^{(3)}_t(y)\left(|z|-c^{(2)}_t(y)\right)^2\right), \tag{4.6}$$

where the constant $c^{(1)}_t(y)$ is given by

$$c^{(1)}_t(y)=\left\|\det\gamma^{-1}_{X_t(y)}\right\|^m_{L^p}\|\mathbf{D}X_t(y)\|^{m'}_{k,p'}$$

for some constants $p, p' > 1$ and integers m, m'. Let us note that for $\tau < 1/(128(2T)^{2(H-\delta)})$, $c_t^{(1)}(y)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} c_t^{(1)}(y) &\leq C\left(1 + t^{m/p} F(t, \frac{1}{\delta} - 1, \frac{1}{\delta}, Cy^{1/2\delta})^{m/p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + t^{nH(k+1)+\frac{m'}{p'}} F(t, \frac{1}{\delta} - 1, \frac{1}{\delta}, Cy^{1/2\delta})^{m'/p'}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$F\left(t, \frac{1}{\delta} - 1, \frac{1}{\delta}, Cy^{1/(2\delta)}\right) := \int_0^{+\infty} u^{(1/\delta)-1} \exp\left(-\tau u^2\right) \exp\left(Cty^{1/(2\delta)} u^{1/\delta}\right) du.$$

Now we are ready to give the upper bound of the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$.

Proposition 10. *Assume that Hypotheses (**H.2**), (**H.4**) and (**H.5**) are satisfied. Then for $t \in (0, T]$, the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ satisfies the following Gaussian mixture type upper bound, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}(z) \leq \int_0^{\infty} \rho_H(z, y) M_\beta(y)\, dy, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\rho_H(z, y) := c_t^{(1)}(y) \exp\left(-c_t^{(3)}(y)\left(|z| - c_t^{(2)}(y)\right)^2\right). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. First we point out the asymptotic behavior of the function $M_\beta(y)$ when y goes to ∞, see Eq. (4.5) in [MMP10]:

$$M_\beta(y/\beta) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\,(1-\beta)}} y^{(\beta-1/2)/(1-\beta)} \exp\left(-\frac{1-\beta}{\beta} y^{1/(1-\beta)}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

With this and the fact that $\frac{1}{2\delta} < 1 < \frac{1}{1-\beta}$ we see that, for any $p > 0$, the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \left(F\left(t, \frac{1}{\delta} - 1, \frac{1}{\delta}, Cy^{1/(2\delta)}\right)\right)^p M_\beta(y)\, dy$$

is finite. This allows us to conclude that the integral $\int_0^{\infty} \rho_H(z, y) M_\beta(y)\, dy$ is well defined and as a consequence the density function $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ satisfy the Gaussian mixture type upper bound (4.8). □

4.3 Smoothness of the density

To show the smoothness of the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ we use the differentiation under the integral sign in representation (4.1). Since $p_{X_t^H(y)}$ is smooth for any $y > 0$, then it is sufficient to obtain an upper bound of $|\partial_\kappa p_{X_t^H(y)}| \leq h_\kappa(y)$, for any multi-index κ, such that

$$\int_0^\infty h_\kappa(y) M_\beta(y)\, dy < \infty.$$

It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.1.5 in [Nua06] that

$$|\partial_\kappa p_{X_t^H(y)}(z)| \leq c_{t,\kappa}^{(1)}(y) \exp\left(-c_t^{(3)}(y)\left(|z| - c_t^{(2)}(y)\right)^2\right),$$

where

$$c_{t,\kappa}^{(1)}(y) = \left\| \det \gamma_{X_t(y)}^{-1} \right\|_{L^q}^l \|\mathbf{D}\, X_t(y)\|_{k',q'}^{l'}$$

for some integer l, l', k' and constants $q, q' > 1$. The function $c_{t,\kappa}^{(1)}$ may be estimated as in (4.7) which implies that

$$\int_0^\infty c_{t,\kappa}^{(1)}(y) M_\beta(y)\, dy < \infty.$$

This is sufficient to guarantee the smoothness of the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$. We state this result in the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Assume that Hypotheses (**H.2**), (**H.4**) and (**H.5**) are satisfied. Then, for $t \in (0, T]$, the density $p_{X_t^H(Y_\beta)}$ is a smooth (C^∞) function.*

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor David Nualart for reading the first version of that paper and the suggestion for studying a more general case presented here. Financial support of the project CCM - PEst-OE/MAT/UI0219/2014 and Laboratory LIBMA form the University Cadi Ayyad Marrakech are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[BH07] F. Baudoin and M. Hairer. A version of Hörmander's theorem for the fractional Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 139(3-4):373–395, 2007.

[BNOT14] F. Baudoin, E. Nualart, C. Ouyang, and S. Tindel. On probability laws of solutions to differential systems driven by a fractional Brownian motion. *arXiv.org*, January 2014.

[BOT14] F. Baudoin, C. Ouyang, and S. Tindel. Upper bounds for the density of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 50(1):111–135, 2014.

[CQ02] L. Coutin and Z. Qian. Stochastic analysis, rough path analysis and fractional Brownian motions. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 122(1):108–140, 2002.

[DSU08] A. A. Dubkov, B. Spagnolo, and V. V. Uchaikin. Lévy flight superdiffusion: an introduction. *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, 18(9):2649–2672, 2008.

[HN07] Y. Hu and D. Nualart. Differential equations driven by Hölder continuous functions of order greater than $1/2$. In *Stochastic analysis and applications*, pages 399–413. Springer, 2007.

[Lyo94] T. Lyons. Differential equations driven by rough signals. I. An extension of an inequality of L. C. Young. *Math. Res. Lett*, 1(4):451–464, 1994.

[MM09] A. Mura and F. Mainardi. A class of self-similar stochastic processes with stationary increments to model anomalous diffusion in physics. *Integr. Transf. Spec. F.*, 20(3-4):185–198, 2009.

[MMP10] F. Mainardi, A. Mura, and G. Pagnini. The M-Wright function in time-fractional diffusion processes: A tutorial survey. *Int. J. Differential Equ.*, 2010:Art. ID 104505, 29, 2010.

[MP08] A. Mura and G. Pagnini. Characterizations and simulations of a class of stochastic processes to model anomalous diffusion. *J. Phys. A*, 41(28):285003, 22, 2008.

[Mur08] A. Mura. *Non-Markovian Stochastic Processes and their Applications: From Anomalous Diffusions to Time Series Analysis*. PhD thesis, Bologna, 2008.

[MvN68] B. B. Mandelbrot and J. W. van Ness. Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. *SIAM Review*, 10:422–437, 1968.

[NR02] D. Nualart and A. Răşcanu. Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Collect. Math.*, 53(1):55–81, 2002.

[NS09] D. Nualart and B. Saussereau. Malliavin calculus for stochastic differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 119(2):391–409, 2009.

[Nua06] D. Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Springer, 2006.

[Sau12] B. Saussereau. A stability result for stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motions. *Int. J. Stoch. Anal.*, 2012:1–13, 2012.

[Sch90] W. R. Schneider. Grey noise. In S. Albeverio et al., editor, *Stochastic processes, physics and geometry*, pages 676–681. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990.

[Sch92] W. R. Schneider. Grey noise. In S. Albeverio, J. .E. Fenstad, H. Holden, and T. Lindstrøm, editors, *Ideas and methods in mathematical analysis, stochastics, and applications (Oslo, 1988)*, pages 261–282. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[Taq03] M. S. Taqqu. Fractional Brownian motion and long-range dependence. In *Theory and applications of long-range dependence*, pages 5–38. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.

[You36] L. C. Young. An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration. *Acta Math.*, 67(1):251–282, 1936.

[Zäh98] M. Zähle. Integration with respect to fractal functions and stochastic calculus. I. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 111(3):333–374, 1998.